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Abstract: Obscure gastrointestinal bleeding (OGIB) is defined as bleeding from the gastrointestinal tract that persists or recurs after a negative initial evaluation using bidirectional endoscopy and radiologic imaging with small-bowel radiograph. The main challenges related to evaluation of OGIB include the high miss rate for lesions on initial evaluation with standard endoscopy and the limited capacity of older diagnostic modalities to effectively examine the small bowel. The introduction of capsule endoscopy, balloon-assisted enteroscopy, spiral enteroscopy, and computed tomography (CT) enterography have served to overcome the limitations of older diagnostic tests. Capsule endoscopy is currently recommended as the third test of choice in the evaluation of patients with OGIB, after a negative bidirectional endoscopy. Balloon-assisted enteroscopy is useful for both the diagnosis and endoscopic management of OGIB. CT enterography is superior to small-bowel radiograph for luminal and extraluminal small-bowel examination. These advances in small-bowel diagnostics and the capacity to successfully perform endoscopic therapeutics have largely replaced surgical procedures and resulted in a trend toward noninvasive evaluation and endoscopic management of OGIB.
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In patients who present with gastrointestinal bleeding, the underlying etiology may not be evident on initial evaluation in 10–20% of cases. Recurrent or persistent bleeding occurs in approximately half of these patients (5%) and can pose a significant challenge to both diagnosis and management. The underlying etiology often remains elusive despite extensive evaluations, thereby resulting in recurrent hospitalizations and multiple transfusions.1,2 Obscure gastrointestinal bleeding (OGIB) has, thus, been defined...
historically as bleeding from the gastrointestinal tract that persists or recurs after a negative initial evaluation, using bidirectional endoscopy and radiologic imaging with small bowel follow-through (SBFT) or enteroclysis.3

The main challenges related to the evaluation of OGIB include the high miss rate for lesions on initial endoscopic evaluation with standard endoscopy (esophagogastroduodenoscopy [EGD] and colonoscopy), as well as the limited capacity of older diagnostic modalities to effectively examine the small bowel (SB), particularly for mucosal disease. The mainstay in the management of these patients has, hence, traditionally involved the use of invasive procedures such as intra-operative enteroscopy (IOE) and exploratory laparotomy. The introduction of video capsule endoscopy (CE), balloon-assisted enteroscopy (BAE; single- and double-balloon enteroscopy [SBE and DBE]), spiral enteroscopy, and computed tomography enterography (CTE) represent significant technological advances that have overcome the limitations of older diagnostic tests. These novel modalities have largely replaced invasive surgical procedures, thereby resulting in a major change in the approach to diagnosis and management of OGIB. This paper is a comprehensive outline of OGIB, with a description and comparison of traditional and novel examinations and their respective roles in OGIB.

Classification of Obscure Gastrointestinal Bleeding

OGIB may be categorized according to clinical presentation of the patient and location of the bleeding source. Based upon presentation, OGIB may be classified as overt or occult bleeding. Overt OGIB is defined as clinically perceptible bleeding that recurs or persists after a negative initial endoscopic evaluation (EGD and colonoscopy) and radiologic evaluation (SBFT or enteroclysis). In comparison, occult OGIB is defined as iron-deficiency anemia, with or without a positive fecal occult blood test.3,4

Prior to the introduction of CE and BAE, gastrointestinal bleeding was classified as originating proximal or distal to the ligament of Treitz. Following the introduction of novel SB imaging techniques, it has been proposed that gastrointestinal bleeding be reclassified as upper (proximal to the ampulla of Vater), mid (ampulla of Vater to ileocecal valve), or lower (colonic sources) gastrointestinal bleeding.3,5

Etiologies of Obscure Gastrointestinal Bleeding

Although it is common practice to use the terms OGIB and SB bleeding interchangeably, lesions that manifest as OGIB include both missed lesions located within reach of standard endoscopy, as well as SB lesions. The importance of these missed lesions can be ascertained by the high reported yield of second-look endoscopy: 35–75% in patients undergoing repeat EGD and 6% on repeat colonoscopy.6-9 The main reasons for a negative initial evaluation include slow or intermittent bleeding; failure to detect vascular lesions due to anemia, dehydration, or sedatives; compromised visualization due to the presence of blood or poor colon preparation; failure to visualize the ampulla; failure to perform a careful exami-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Etiologies of Obscure Gastrointestinal Bleeding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vascular</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Angioectasias (Figure 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Dieulafoy lesion (Figure 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• GAVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Portal hypertensive gastropathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Varices (esophageal, gastric, small bowel, and colonic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Hemorrhoids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Radiation enteritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inflammatory</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Esophagitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Peptic ulcer disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Cameron erosions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Inflammatory bowel disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Meckel diverticulum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• NSAID-related gastropathy/enteropathy/colopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Figure 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Neoplastic</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Carcinoid (Figure 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• GIST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Adenocarcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ampullary adenoma/carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Metastases (melanoma)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Extraluminal</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Hemobilia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Hemosuccus pancreaticus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Aortoenteric fistula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rare causes</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• von Willebrand disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Pseudoxanthoma elasticum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Amyloidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Blue rubber bleb nevus syndrome vasculitis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GAVE=gastrotic antral vascular ectasia; GIST=gastrointestinal stromal tumor; NSAID=nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug.
nation by the endoscopist; and delay in the performance of endoscopic evaluation for more than 48 hours after initial presentation.\textsuperscript{2,4}

SB lesions account for the majority of the etiologies of OGIB (~75\%) and predominantly include vascular lesions (~70\%) in the Western population and ulcerations (~45\%) in the Asian population.\textsuperscript{3,10-13} In addition to intraluminal etiologies, extraluminal sources, including aortoenteric fistulae, hemobilia, and hemosuccus pancreaticus, can also present as OGIB. Failure to maintain a high index of suspicion for these causes can lead to a significant delay in their diagnosis, as well as unnecessary interventions being performed for incidental lesions detected on endoscopy.\textsuperscript{14,15} The main etiologies of OGIB are outlined in Table 1.

**Evaluation of Obscure Gastrointestinal Bleeding**

A detailed history and physical examination can provide important clues to the underlying etiology, but endoscopic evaluation remains the cornerstone of the diagnosis and management of OGIB. In patients with occult OGIB, it is important to exclude malabsorption and hematologic causes of anemia, and document objective evidence of gastrointestinal bleeding. A thorough SB examination is important, as 2–10\% of these patients have been reported to have underlying tumors, of which the majority appear to be malignant.\textsuperscript{16-17} The main limitations of SB evaluation in the past were related to its length (>6 m) and the limited intubation depth with conventional endoscopy, as well as the low sensitivity of traditional radiologic tests for detection of flat mucosal lesions such as angioectasias.\textsuperscript{20,21} These shortcomings have been overcome by recent developments in both endoscopic (video CE, SBE, and DBE) and radiologic techniques (CTE). These advances in SB diagnostics, as well as the capacity to successfully perform endoscopic therapeutic interventions, have largely replaced surgical procedures (intra-operative enteroscopy [IOE], laparoscopy, and exploratory laparotomy), resulting in a trend toward noninvasive evaluation and management of OGIB.\textsuperscript{22-25}

Details pertaining to clinical presentation (eg, presence or absence of overt bleeding), nature of bleeding (eg, hematemesis, hematochezia, or melena), bleeding diathesis (eg, von Willebrand disease), medication use (eg, aspirin or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs), comorbidities (eg, valvular heart disease, vasculitis, or amyloidosis), prior procedures/surgeries (eg, liver biopsy, liver transplantation, abdominal aortic aneurysm repair, or bowel resection), prior radiation therapy, and family history (eg, inflammatory bowel disease or polyposis syndromes) may provide important clues to the underlying etiology of OGIB. Physical examination, including a detailed dermatologic evaluation, may also be useful in the diagnosis of systemic syndromes (eg, hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasias, amyloidosis, and blue-rubber bleb nevus syndrome).

**Traditional Endoscopic Tests**

**Push Enteroscopy.** Push enteroscopy (PE) allows only a limited evaluation of the proximal SB, approximately 50–100 cm distal to the ligament of Treitz. The diagnostic yield of PE is reported to be between 3–70\%, with the majority of SB findings being vascular lesions.\textsuperscript{3,26-28} Interestingly, most of the lesions diagnosed on PE have been found in locations accessible to standard EGD and may account for the lesions missed on initial endoscopy.\textsuperscript{6,29} Although the use of an overtube may allow for deeper SB intubation (up to 150 cm), it does not appear to increase the diagnostic yield of the test.\textsuperscript{30}

The main disadvantages of PE are related to the looping of the enteroscope and patient discomfort. This technique has been largely replaced by CE for diagnostic evaluation and BAE for endoscopic treatment in the SB. Its role is currently limited to endoscopic therapeutic interventions in patients who have only very proximal SB lesions detected on CE.\textsuperscript{31}

**Sonde Enteroscopy.** Sonde enteroscopy is an endoscopic technique that is dependent on peristaltic propagation of a flexible enteroscope through the SB. Examination of the SB is then performed during withdrawal of the enteroscope. This modality is no longer utilized in clinical practice due to patient discomfort and long procedure duration.\textsuperscript{32,33}

**Intra-operative Enteroscopy.** IOE involves evaluation of the SB on laparotomy and may be performed orally, rectally, or via enterotomy, wherein the scope is inserted through a surgical incision in the SB. Although the diagnostic yield of IOE has been reported to be between 58–88\%, rebleeding may occur in up to 60\% of patients.\textsuperscript{34-37} Complication rates have been reported to be between 0–52\%, and major complications include serosal tears, avulsion of mesenteric vessels, prolonged ileus, and perforation.\textsuperscript{35-37,39} In addition, earlier reports indicated a high mortality rate of 11\% with this procedure.\textsuperscript{38,40} Due to these reasons, IOE should be reserved only for patients who present with recurrent bleeds requiring multiple transfusions or hospitalizations after a comprehensive negative evaluation.\textsuperscript{4}

**New Endoscopic Tests**

**Video Capsule Endoscopy.** Video capsule endoscopes measure 22 mm × 11 mm and have the capacity to cap-
ture images at the rate of 2 frames/second over an 8-hour period. Images are transmitted to a recording device and can be downloaded and viewed on a computer station with appropriate software. CE allows noninvasive evaluation of the entire SB in 79–90% of patients, with a diagnostic yield of 38–83% in OGIB.51 The main utility of this test lies in its high positive (94–97%) and negative (83–100%) predictive value in the evaluation of OGIB.42–44 Findings on CE may lead to endoscopic or surgical intervention, or a change in medical management in 37–87% of patients.42,45 After undergoing CE-directed interventions, 50–66% of patients have been reported to remain transfusion-free without recurrent bleeding at follow-up.43,46 Two studies with a mean follow-up period of 17 and 19 months reported a low rebleeding rate of 11% and 5.6%, respectively, in patients with a negative CE.44,47

The yield of CE may be influenced by multiple factors, with a higher likelihood of positive findings in patients with a hemoglobin level of less than 10 g/dL, longer duration of bleeding (>6 months), more than 1 episode of bleeding, overt (rather than occult) bleeding (60% vs 46%), and use of CE within 2 weeks of the bleeding episode (91% vs 34%).48–51

The main limitations of the test include a lack of therapeutic capabilities, inability to control its movement through the gastrointestinal tract, and the high rate of incidental findings in up to 23% of healthy controls.52 Another important disadvantage is the potential for missing solitary lesions in the SB. A pooled analysis of 32 trials that included 691 capsule examinations found a false-negative rate of 11% for all SB findings and 19% for neoplasms with CE.53 CE may also be complicated by retention (1–13%), disintegration, and perforation, which precludes its use in patients with a suspected obstruction or stricture.54–56

Balloon-Assisted Enteroscopy. BAE utilizes the principle of push-and-pull enteroscopy and is comprised of DBE and SBE.57 DBE consists of an enteroscope and an overtube, both of which have balloons at their distal ends, as its name suggests. In comparison, SBE consists of an enteroscope and an overtube, with a balloon on only the overtube. The balloons on the double-balloon enteroscope and overtube are composed of latex, whereas the balloon on the single-balloon overtube is made of silicon. The enteroscope in both systems has a working length of 200 cm, and the overtube is 140 cm in length. The outer diameter is 9.4 mm on the double-balloon enteroscope and 9.2 mm on the single-balloon enteroscope.

The technique of BAE involves a series of steps called an advancement cycle: the enteroscope and overtube are introduced into the SB, and the balloon on the overtube is inflated. The enteroscope is advanced further into the SB. The balloon on the double-balloon enteroscope is then inflated, and the overtube is subsequently advanced over the enteroscope. Both the overtube and enteroscope are then drawn back (with both balloons inflated on DBE, and the overtube balloon inflated and the distal end of the enteroscope hooked over a fold with SBE). This allows the SB to plicate over the enteroscope. By repeating this series of steps, a longer SB distance can be traversed compared to conventional endoscopy. BAE can be performed via the oral (antegrade) or aboral (retrograde) approaches.

Double-Balloon Enteroscopy. DBE allows deeper intubation of the SB (240–360 cm with the antegrade approach and 102–140 cm with the retrograde approach), as compared to PE (90–150 cm) and ileoscopy (50–80 cm).58–61 DBE has the additional advantage over CE of both diagnostic and therapeutic capabilities, including biopsies, tattoo, hemostasis, polypectomy, balloon dilation, and foreign body retrieval (eg, for retained capsules).61–63 The diagnostic yield of DBE is between 60–80% in patients with OGIB and other SB disorders. Successful use of endoscopic therapeutic interventions has been reported in 40–73% of patients.12,58,60,64

Total enteroscopy with DBE is defined as complete evaluation of the SB either with a single approach or a combined antegrade and retrograde approach. The decision to perform total enteroscopy is usually dependent upon the discretion of the endoscopist, degree of clinical suspicion for a SB lesion, and inability to detect the lesion using a single approach. However, despite the best attempts of the endoscopist, total enteroscopy may not be feasible in all patients, as it has a reported success rate ranging from 16% to 86%.59,60,65 A higher success rate with total enteroscopy has been reported in the Asian population, as compared to the Western population, and it is unclear whether this is a reflection of the difference in body habitus or technique employed by the endoscopists.

The main limitations of DBE include its invasive nature, prolonged duration, and need for additional personnel. The complication rate for diagnostic procedures is 0.8% and up to 4% if therapeutics such as electrocoagulation, polypectomy, or dilation are performed. The main complications reported with this technique are ileus, pancreatitis, and perforation.58,61,66

Single-Balloon Enteroscopy. SBE is the latest balloon-assisted endoscopic technique that has been introduced for the evaluation and management of SB disorders. A preliminary report of 78 SBE procedures performed in 41 patients, of whom 12 had OGIB, found that SBE allowed evaluation of the SB in a safe and effective manner, including performance of total enteroscopy (25%; 6/24).
The diagnostic yield in OGIB was 66% (4/12 patients), and therapies such as argon plasma coagulation were successfully performed.24 Another study evaluated 20 patients with suspected SB disorders and found a diagnostic yield of 60% using SBE.69 Similar to DBE, there is a risk of perforation in patients with SB lesions who undergo SBE.24,68

Additional studies are necessary to determine the true efficacy and safety of SBE in the evaluation of OGIB.

**Spiral Enteroscopy.** The Discovery SB overtube is a spiral-shaped overtube with a working length of 130 cm and a raised helix at the distal end. The technique of spiral enteroscopy allows for advancement and withdrawal of the enteroscope through the SB by using clockwise and counterclockwise movements, respectively. The distal end of the overtube is positioned 25 cm from the tip of the enteroscope and locked into place. The system is then advanced to the ligament of Treitz with gentle rotation. The collar is subsequently unlocked, and the enteroscope is advanced past the ligament of Treitz. The overtube is then advanced using clockwise rotation until pleating of the SB no longer occurs over the enteroscope. The enteroscope is then unlocked and advanced to facilitate further advancement into the SB. In order to ease withdrawal of the enteroscope, the overtube is rotated in a counterclockwise direction.69 A preliminary study of 27 adult patients reported a diagnostic yield of 33% using this technique and an average depth of insertion of 176 cm from the ligament of Treitz.69 Another study of 90 procedures reported a mean depth of insertion of 262±57 cm and a total procedure time of 33.6±8 min.70 This endoscopic modality also allows the use of therapeutic interventions, including biopsies, hemostasis, and polypectomies. Only minor complications of sore throat and minimal mucosal trauma have been reported thus far and no perforations.69

Additional studies are necessary to determine how this technique compares to BAE in the evaluation and management of OGIB.

**Comparison of Endoscopic Modalities in Obscure Gastrointestinal Bleeding**

Multiple retrospective and prospective studies have found CE to be superior to both PE and SBFT in the evaluation of OGIB. A meta-analysis of studies that compared CE and PE showed that CE had an incremental yield of 30% (yield, 56% vs 26%) for clinically significant findings in patients with OGIB. Similarly, CE had an incremental yield of 36% over SBFT (yield, 42% vs 6%).71 In order to establish 1 additional diagnosis, the number needed to test with CE was 3. Based upon a subanalysis of the data, CE had a higher yield for both vascular and inflammatory lesions. CE has, therefore, largely replaced PE and SBFT in the evaluation of the SB and is currently recommended as the third test of choice in patients with OGIB who have had a negative EGD and colonoscopy.

A study by May and colleagues that compared DBE to PE in 52 patients with OGIB found that DBE not only allowed a greater depth of intubation (230 cm vs 80 cm), but also had a higher yield for SB findings (73% vs 44%).72 Furthermore, DBE facilitated detection of additional lesions in the distal SB in patients who had positive findings on PE.

Several studies have compared the yield of CE to DBE but have shown inconsistent results due to their small sample size. A meta-analysis of 11 studies that compared these modalities in patients with SB disease (the majority with OGIB) showed a comparable diagnostic yield (60% vs 57%; IYw 3%) for all SB findings. The yield for these tests was also similar for vascular, inflammatory, and neoplastic lesions.73 Another meta-analysis of 8 studies also found no difference in diagnostic yield between the two tests for the evaluation of SB disease (odds ratio [OR], 1.21 [95% confidence interval [CI], 0.64–2.29]). In patients with OGIB, CE had a higher yield compared to DBE using a single approach (OR, 1.61 [95% CI, 1.07–2.43]) but a significantly lower yield compared to DBE using a combined antegrade and retrograde approach (OR, 0.12 [95% CI, 0.03–0.52]).74 This finding reinforces the importance of total enteroscopy with DBE in patients with a high clinical suspicion for a SB lesion.

CE may be useful as a screening tool prior to DBE in patients with OGIB. This approach of a targeted DBE has been shown to increase both the diagnostic (73–93%) and therapeutic (57–73%) yields of the test. Furthermore, CE transit times appear to be useful in guiding the optimal route of DBE. Due to deeper intubation of the SB and a higher success rate with the antegrade approach, this is the preferred route for lesions suspected of being within the proximal 75% of the SB based upon transit time, whereas the retrograde route is used for more distal lesions. Due to the high negative predictive value of CE, the approach of CE-guided DBE allows avoidance of DBE in patients with a low pretest probability for SB findings.75-77

However, the concept of CE-guided DBE may not be applicable in all patients. A study evaluated the outcomes of 51 patients with OGIB who underwent both CE and DBE. The overall yield with both tests was similar. Nevertheless, CE detected 31 lesions not found on DBE, and, similarly, DBE detected 21 lesions missed on CE.78 CE has been found to have a false-negative rate of 11% for all SB findings and, more importantly, 19%
for neoplasms. A study that evaluated the role of repeat CE in patients with OGIB found additional findings on the second examination in up to 75% of patients with OGIB, leading to a change in management in 62% of patients. There have also been several reports of neoplasms missed on CE and subsequently diagnosed on DBE. Hence, in patients with a negative CE in whom there is a high clinical suspicion for a SB lesion, DBE should still be pursued, including consideration for total enteroscopy.

The indications for DBE in OGIB are manifold and include patients who have a positive CE, both for tissue diagnosis and therapeutics; patients in whom CE is contraindicated; patients with a negative CE, but high clinical suspicion for SB lesions; and in patients with active bleeding.

A cost-effectiveness analysis that compared various diagnostic modalities (PE, DBE, CE-guided DBE, angiography, and IOE) found that DBE was not only the most cost-effective approach in the evaluation of overt OGIB, but also had the highest success rate for bleeding cessation. However, the investigators concluded that CE-guided DBE may be associated with better long-term outcomes compared to the initial DBE approach due to decreased risk of complications and appropriate utilization of endoscopic resources.

Radiologic Evaluation
The primary objective of traditional radiologic tests, including tagged red blood cell scans and angiography, is localization of the bleeding source, with the intent to perform therapeutic embolization of the feeding blood vessel. Novel imaging studies such as CTE and computed tomography angiography (CTA) not only allow localization of the bleeding source and diagnosis of the underlying etiology of OGIB, but also facilitate both luminal and extraluminal evaluation of the SB.

Older Radiologic Tests
Technetium 99m-labeled Red Blood Cell Nuclear Scan. Technetium 99m-labeled red blood cell nuclear scan can detect gastrointestinal bleeding at a rate of 0.1–0.4 mL/min. Due to its noninvasive nature and higher sensitivity, as compared to angiography, this test has been considered useful for screening and localization of bleeding, prior to the use of selective angiography. However, studies have shown that red blood cell scans have a low accuracy for localization of bleeding source and may, hence, be of limited utility in the evaluation of OGIB. Moreover, delay in scanning may lead to misinterpretation of findings, with pooling of blood in dependent sites being mistaken for the bleeding source.

Technetium 99m Pertechnetate Scintigraphy (Meckel Scan). Technetium 99m pertechnetate scintigraphy (Meckel scan) is useful in the diagnosis of Meckel diverticulum. This test relies on the uptake of the pertechnetate anion by ectopic gastric mucosa and has a sensitivity of 64–100%. False-negative results may be due to a recent barium radiograph, the small size of the diverticulum, an impaired vascular supply, or washout of the isotope in the setting of active gastrointestinal bleeding.

Angiography. Angiography is useful for both localization of active bleeding and diagnosis of nonbleeding vascular lesions and tumors. Angiography has the potential to detect bleeding at a rate of more than 0.5 mL/min. Its yield has been reported to be dependent upon the rate of bleeding, with successful localization of the bleeding source in 50–75% of patients with active bleeding and less than 50% in patients with slow or cessation of bleeding. The classic angiographic finding of an angioectasia is a slow filling vein. Other findings include the presence of a vascular tuft and an early filling vein. The main benefit of angiography is the ability to perform therapeutic embolization with the use of Gelfoam or coils. The procedure may be associated with complications of pseudoaneurysm, arterial thrombosis, dissection, and bowel infarction. Provocative testing with the use of anticoagulants (heparin) and antifibrinolytics (streptokinase [Streptase, Aventis Behring] and urokinase [Kinlytic, Microbix Biosystems]) prior to angiography has been found to be of limited value and, therefore, may not be a safe or cost-effective approach in the evaluation of OGIB.

New Radiologic Tests
Advances in computed tomography imaging, particularly of the SB, have increased the use of this technique in the evaluation of gastrointestinal bleeding. Several computed tomography protocols have been described, including CTA, CTE, and computed tomography enteroclysis (CT-entero). These techniques can differ in the amount and route of oral contrast administration and the number of imaging phases related to intravenous contrast administration (precontrast, arterial, venous, and delayed).

Computed Tomography Enterography and Computed Tomography Enteroclysis. CTE and CT-entero are dedicated examinations of the SB that allow the detection of both vascular lesions and tumors. The technique optimizes luminal distension by administering larger volumes of neutral oral contrast via a peroral (CTE) or nasojejunal intubation (CT-entero) approach, thereby allowing optimal visualization of mucosal details and vasculature.
Unlike the evaluation of inflammatory bowel disease, which acquires images only during a single phase, evaluation of gastrointestinal bleeding usually involves multiphasic imaging (arterial, enteric, and delayed imaging, with or without precontrast images). A study that evaluated 22 patients with OGIB using multiphasic CTE reported a diagnostic yield of 45%. Typical features of angiodysplasias on computed tomography include the presence of a vascular tuft in the arterial phase and an early draining mesenteric vein. Active bleeding may also be identified on multiphasic imaging by the increasing accumulation of contrast in the SB lumen. CTE has the additional advantage of identification of SB strictures/obstruction prior to CE and provides important information on luminal and extraluminal findings that cannot be detected on CE.

The technique may be limited by inadequate bowel distention with oral contrast due to patient intolerance, bowel obstruction, or gastrointestinal dysmotility, and contraindication to the use of intravenous contrast in patients with renal insufficiency or contrast allergy.

**Computed Tomography Angiography.** CTA is a technique that uses less neutral oral contrast material than CTE and therefore has less luminal distention. Vascular contrast is most typically administered intravenously but occasionally has been described intra-arterially via mesenteric angiography or aortography, followed by computed tomography imaging. The computed tomography appearance of vascular lesions will generally be identical to the appearance on CTE/CT-entero using intravenous contrast, with more intense enhancement if an intra-arterial approach is used. CTA may be preferred over CTE/CT-entero if an emergent examination is required (massive gastrointestinal hemorrhage) or the patient is intolerant to oral contrast.

**Management of Obscure Gastrointestinal Bleeding**

The management of patients with OGIB should be individualized based upon several important factors, including clinical presentation (obscure versus occult gastrointestinal bleeding), type of bleeding (melena or hematochezia), duration and frequency of bleeding, severity and acuteness of bleeding, need for packed red blood cell (PRBC) transfusions, presence or absence of iron-deficiency anemia, and associated clinical symptoms (abdominal pain and/or weight loss). As medical management has not been shown to be effective in the long-term management of patients with OGIB, definitive treatment with endoscopic interventions, angiographic embolization, or surgical resection continues to remain the mainstay in the initial management of these patients. Supportive management with iron therapy and/or PRBC transfusions is a reasonable option in the subset of patients who have undergone a comprehensive negative diagnostic evaluation; those with recurrent bleeding (without hemodynamic instability) after undergoing endoscopic/radiologic treatment or surgery; and/or those with contraindications for endoscopic/radiologic management or surgery.

We propose the following algorithm in the evaluation of patients with OGIB. The diagnostic approach is, in part, determined by the clinical presentation of the patient. A second-look EGD and colonoscopy should be considered in all patients with occult or recurrent overt bleeding due to the high rate of missed lesions. If no bleeding source is identified on conventional endoscopy, SB evaluation with CE should be pursued. Therapeutics can then be performed using PE or BAE, as warranted, based upon the type and location of the finding. If the lesion is not amenable to endoscopic treatment, appropriate medical or surgical management should be pursued. In those patients in whom CE is contraindicated due to suspected/known obstruction or stricture, and in patients in whom a tumor is suspected, CTE may be the preferred initial test for SB evaluation. A Meckel scan may also be performed in younger patients presenting with OGIB.

In patients with active overt bleeding, management should be individualized according to the clinical situation. If the patient is hemodynamically stable and endoscopic resources are available, the endoscopist may either perform CE-guided BAE or proceed directly to BAE after a negative evaluation using bidirectional endoscopy. In the setting of brisk or massive bleeding or hemodynamic instability, it would be prudent to proceed with a radioisotope bleeding scan and/or angiography to localize and treat the bleeding source. IOE should be reserved for patients with severe recurrent bleeding and transfusion dependency and those with a SB lesion not accessible with BAE.

Although medical management with hormonal therapy (estrogen with/without progesterone), somatostatin analogues, thalidomide, erythropoietin, and von Willebrand factor have all been utilized, these modalities do not appear to be useful in the long-term management of most patients with ongoing gastrointestinal bleeding. Rebleeding can occur in up to 46% of patients after medical management. Hormonal therapy may lead to improved vascular integrity and decreased vascular angiogenesis by inhibiting endothelial growth factor. The use of ethinyl...
Figure 1. Evaluation and management of obscure gastrointestinal bleeding (OGIB).

BAE = balloon-assisted enteroscopy; CE = capsule endoscopy; CTE = computed tomography enterography.

estradiol (0.035 mg) with or without norethisterone (1 mg) has been found to be useful in patients with hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia, von Willebrand disease, and renal failure. Its role in the management of patients with sporadic angioectasias remains a matter of controversy. A study evaluated the role of hormonal therapy in 40 patients with OGIB and found that patients remained transfusion-free without rebleeding as long as they continued treatment. However, a larger randomized trial of 72 patients with sporadic angioectasias found no benefit with the use of hormonal therapy. Small case series evaluating the utility of somatostatin analogues, thalidomide, von Willebrand factor, and erythropoietin have all shown conflicting
Figure 3. Submucosal tumor with overlying ulceration detected on capsule endoscopy in a 46-year-old man with occult obscure gastrointestinal bleeding (A). Retained capsule seen on abdominal radiograph (B). Gross specimen of resected ileum with carcinoid tumor and retained capsule endoscope (C). Surgical pathology was consistent with nests of neuroendocrine cells, which corresponds to a carcinoid tumor (D).

Figure 4. Angioectasia and mucosal scalloping of the small bowel detected on capsule endoscopy in a patient with overt obscure gastrointestinal bleeding and iron-deficiency anemia. Argon plasma coagulation of multiple angioectasias was performed, and small-bowel biopsies were obtained on double-balloon enteroscopy (A). Small-bowel biopsies were consistent with villous atrophy secondary to celiac sprue (B).

Figure 5. Diaphragm disease related to nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug use seen on video capsule endoscopy (A) and confirmed on double-balloon enteroscopy (B) in a patient with occult obscure gastrointestinal bleeding.
results regarding the benefits of the medications in these patients. Hence, medical management should be reserved for patients with a negative comprehensive evaluation and those who fail endoscopic, radiologic, and/or surgical management.

An approach to the evaluation and management of OGIB is presented in Figure 1.

Summary

OGIB represents one of the most challenging disorders faced by gastroenterologists due to its evasive nature and relative dearth of endoscopic and radiologic tools to facilitate an adequate evaluation of the gastrointestinal tract. However, the introduction of new SB imaging and endoscopic modalities has served to largely overcome these obstacles. With rapidly evolving technology, our ability to diagnose and treat patients with OGIB has improved enormously, resulting in a significant change in the paradigm of the management of this disorder.
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